Annex H

SWOT analysis for all the potential sites for development

A STRENGTH WEAKNESS OPPORTUNITY THREAT (SWOT) ANALYSIS OF THE NINE ORIGINAL CBC "CALL FOR SITES" AND OTHER LOCATIONS IDENTIFIED BY THE NP GROUP

- 1. The Neighbourhood Plan Group has not only met with all the landowners and where relevant, their agents of all the nine sites to discuss their proposals see map at Annex D, but the Group has also carried out its own physical survey of all of the sites.
- 2. In Site numerical order, the outcomes of our meetings with the landowners and/or their agents; the physical surveys carried out by the Neighbourhood Plan Group, and the villagers ranking score, are summarised in the following table:-

Serial	Site	Size	Sustainability	Suitability and Impact
1	035	6.7 Ha	- Flat, open site with	- Ranked No 6 by the
			meadows and livestock	villagers
			(sheep)	-Site big enough to
			-No obvious or visible	cope with proposal of
			contamination	up to 150 houses
			-No restrictive covenants	- Nearest bus stop
			-Not an SSSI site	some 50m away
			-No known mineral	-Primary school just
			deposits	under 1km away
			-No known archaeological	- Access would be
			remains	adjacent to a very busy
			-Not prone to flooding	junction off the A1124
			-Sufficient road access	bordered by an "S"
			from A1124 – Essex County	bend in the road -
			Council (ECC) Highways	would require a new
			survey ¹⁸	roundabout?

¹⁸ (5th May 2016) Email from Colchester Borough Council

-

			 No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues No negative heritage issues apparent 	on side of road next to Site - Would not of itself, lead to coalescence of the two "ends" of the village - Loss of open space/views within the village - Greatly increase housing to the south of the A1124
2	039	6.6 Ha	- Open site planted with crops -The southern portion of the Site is flat but some fifty per cent of Site slops downwards towards the northern boundary -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Not prone to flooding but drainage at the northern edge down to the brook may be a problem - Access to the larger site "has sufficient road access from A1124 but there is Public Rights of Way within and/or immediately adjacent the site which may need to be permanently diverted	the villagers - Site not big enough to cope with proposal of up to 150 houses - Bus stop adjacent to Site - Primary school just over 1km away - Developer is proposing road access via Spring Lane and The Walk which is an access route for an existing estate containing young families and elderly residents Extra traffic on to Spring Lane which is already a busy road especially early morning and evening

3	063	8 and	and/or upgraded" — ECC Highways survey - No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues - No negative heritage issues apparent - Both flat sites — larger site	station roundabout - Fills in the centre of the village, leading to loss of open spaces within the village
		3.5 Ha	orchard and trees, smaller site meadow scrub -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Not prone to flooding - Larger Site contains a partly designated "Priority Woodland and Old Orchard Habitat Site" on southern edge -Detrimental to protected area of Seven Star Green - Access to the larger site "has sufficient road access from A1124" — ECC Highways survey but not from Turkey Cock Lane Access to smaller site not supported by ECC Highways "as no safe means of access for pedestrians" - No negative heritage issues apparent	villagers -The larger of the two Sites is big enough to cope with proposed 150 houses - Nearest bus stops some 100m away (smaller site) but adjacent (larger site) - Primary school just over 1km away - Greatly increase housing to the south of the A1124

4	124	1.06 Ha	- Flat enclosed site with	- Ranked No 4 by the
			numerous trees and wild	villagers
			scrub	- Only a 64 bed care
			-No obvious or visible	home proposed by the
			contamination	developer - does not
			-No restrictive covenants	help toward the
			-Not an SSSI site	housing "target"
			-No known mineral	number
			deposits	- Access to an already
			-No known archaeological	very busy roundabout
			remains	- Site adjacent to bus
			-Not prone to flooding	_
			•	· '
			-	-
			Holiday Inn	- Site currently provides
			- No known negative	attractive habitat to
			biodiversity or nature	wildlife by way of trees
			conservation issues	and scrub that will be
			- No negative heritage	removed by any
			issues apparent	development
5	149	5.8 Ha	- Ninety per cent flat open	- Ranked No 7 by the
			site with crops growing	villagers
			-No obvious or visible	-Site big enough to
			contamination	cope with proposed
			-No restrictive covenants	150 houses
			-Not an SSSI site	- Site "landlocked" -
			-No known mineral	would have to be
			deposits	accessed via Site 124 ie
			-No known archaeological	no direct access on to
			remains	A1124
			-Not prone to flooding	- "Not clear how this
			- No known negative	Site would be accessed
			biodiversity or nature	as it does not seem to
			conservation issues	have any road
			- No negative heritage	frontage" – ECC
			issues apparent	Highways
				- Nearest bus stops
				some 500m away
				- Primary school
				approx 2 km away
5	149	5.8 Ha	-Not prone to flooding - Access directly on to roundabout near the Holiday Inn - No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues - No negative heritage issues apparent - Ninety per cent flat open site with crops growing -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Not prone to flooding - No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues - No negative heritage	- Primary school approx 2 km away - Site currently provide attractive habitat wildlife by way of tree and scrub that will be removed by ar development - Ranked No 7 by the villagers - Site big enough to cope with proposed 150 houses - Site "landlocked" would have to be accessed via Site 124 no direct access on the A1124 - "Not clear how the Site would be accessed as it does not seem thave any road frontage" — EC Highways - Nearest bus stop some 500m away - Primary school

				 Loss of open spaces enjoyed by dog walkers
6	150	0.95 Ha	- Flat open site with crops growing -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Not prone to flooding - Access to site via a narrow, single carriageway in front of six existing properties - No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues - No negative heritage issues apparent	- Ranked No 3 by the villagers - Site not big enough to cope with proposed 150 houses - Bus stop some 20m away - Primary school approx 2 km away - Site backs on to the London to Norwich railway line — a noise reduction fence would be required - In effect, would lead to coalescence with Stanway — Parish Council has rejected
7	155	0.8 Ha	- Flat site with crops growing -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Not prone to flooding -Sufficient road access from A1124 — ECC Highways survey - No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues	- Ranked No 2 by the villagers - Site not big enough to cope with proposed 150 houses - Potentially, nine houses will overlook any development - Primary school approx 1km away - Would start the process of major

			- No negative heritage issues apparent	
8	208	1.14 Ha	- Flat site left to scrub and small trees -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site - A small, dry pond in SE corner of site -Not prone to flooding -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains - Site provides attractive habitat to wildlife - Very poor access on to bend of old Halstead Road - No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues - No negative heritage issues apparent	villagers - Site not big enough to cope with proposed 150 houses - Nearest bus stop some 500m away - Primary school approx 2 km away - Would lead to coalescence with Stanway - Houses bordering site would have restricted views - Site currently used unofficially by dog
9	226	7.982 Ha	- Flat open site comprises three fields, all of which are open meadow -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -Not prone to flooding -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Sufficient road access from A1124 — ECC Highways survey	villagers -Site big enough to cope with proposed 150 houses -Nearest bus stop some 200m away on the A1124 and some 80m away to the east on Fiddlers Hill - Location at Western edge of the village helps maintain the

- Large underground water	- Primary school
pipe in centre of site (link	
between River Colne and	- Site would not lead to
Abberton Reservoir)	any coalescence with
- No known negative	nearby villages
biodiversity or nature	-Minimal interference
conservation issues	with existing housing
- No negative heritage	
issues apparent	

3. In addition to the nine "Call for Sites" localities, the Neighbourhood Plan Group surveyed three Possible Other Sites (POS) in the village and the outcome of these were as follows:-

Serial	Site	Sustainability	Suitability
10	POS 1 - Heath	- Large flat, open site	- Not assessed by
	Road	planted with crops	villagers
		-No obvious or visible	-Site big enough to
		contamination	cope with proposed
		-No restrictive covenants	150 houses
		-Not an SSSI site	- Heath Road is a rural,
		-Not prone to flooding	unlimited, unlit road
		-No known mineral deposits	with no pavements
		-No known archaeological	- Heath Road has
		remains	60mph speed limit
		- No known negative	-Nearest bus stop some
		biodiversity or nature	500m away
		conservation issues	- Primary school ½ km
		- No negative heritage	away
		issues apparent	- Starts to extend
			village northwards
			towards the Colne
			Valley
			- Housing would lead to
			extra traffic on a truly
			rural road

11	POS 2 –	- Small flat Site adjacent to	- Not assessed by
	Bungalow	and on a double bend on	villagers
	Corner	A1124	-Site not big enough to
		- Field fallow	cope with proposed
		-No obvious or visible	150 houses
		contamination	- Primary school approx
		-No restrictive covenants	1 km away
		-Not an SSSI site	- Poor access to A1124
		-Not prone to flooding	 on fast bend despite
		-No known mineral deposits	30mph limit
		-No known archaeological	- A move toward filling
		remains	in the centre of the
		- No known negative	village plus the loss of
		biodiversity or nature	open spaces within the
		conservation issues	village
		- No negative heritage	
		issues apparent	
12	POS 3 – "Brick	- Small flat site alongside	- Not assessed by
	and Tile" Site	the A1124	villagers
		- Site now a meadow and	-Site not big enough to
		car park for dentists (used	cope with proposed
		to be the old Brick and Tile	150 houses
		PH site)	- Bus stop adjacent to
		-No obvious or visible	site Diagram and a slave and a second
		contamination	- Primary school approx
		-No restrictive covenants	1 km away
		-Not an SSSI site	- Access directly on to A114
		-Not prone to flooding	
		-No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological	
		remains	the village
		- No known negative	the vinage
		biodiversity or nature	
		conservation issues	
		- No negative heritage	
		issues apparent	
		apparent	

4. Subsequent to the Spring 2016 consultation exercise, another consultation exercise was carried out in January 2017 and the SWOT

analysis, in the order of the preferences shown on 21^{st} January 2017 indicated:-

1	226	7.982	 Flat open site comprises 	-Ranked again as No 1
		На	three fields, all of which are	by the villagers
			open meadow	-Site big enough to cope
			-No obvious or visible	with proposed 150
			contamination	<mark>houses</mark>
			-No restrictive covenants	-Nearest bus stop some
			-Not an SSSI site	200m away on the
			-Not prone to flooding	A1124 and some 80m
			-No known mineral deposits	away to the east on
			-No known archaeological	Fiddlers Hill
			remains	- Location at Western
			-Sufficient road access from	edge of the village helps
			A1124 – ECC Highways	maintain the open
			survey	centre of the village
			- Large underground water	- Primary school approx
			pipe in centre of site (link	1km away
			between River Colne and	- Site would not lead to
			Abberton Reservoir)	any coalescence with
			- No known negative	nearby villages
			biodiversity or nature	-Minimal interference
			conservation issues	with existing housing
			- No negative heritage	
	4	•	issues apparent	
2	155	Approx	- Flat site with crops growing	_
		6 Ha	-No obvious or visible	by the villagers
		/lowgon	contamination	- Site is now big enough
		(larger	-No restrictive covenants	to cope with proposed
		site was offered)	-Not an SSSI site-No known mineral deposits	150 houses - Potentially, nine
		onereu)	-No known archaeological	- Potentially, nine houses will overlook
			remains	any development
			-Not prone to flooding	- Primary school approx
			-Sufficient road access from	1km away
			A1124 – ECC Highways	- Would start the
			survey	process of major
				building on both sides of
				the A1124

			 No known negative biodiversity or nature conservation issues No negative heritage issues apparent 	
3	063	8 Ha	- A flat site containing an orchard and trees -No obvious or visible contamination -No restrictive covenants -Not an SSSI site -No known mineral deposits -No known archaeological remains -Not prone to flooding - Site contains a partly designated "Priority Woodland and Old Orchard Habitat Site" on southern edge -Detrimental to protected area of Seven Star Green - No negative heritage issues apparent	(smaller site) but adjacent (larger site) - Primary school just over 1km away - Greatly increase housing to the south of the A1124 Colchester Borough Council are not keen to encourage
4	HF	13 Ha	- Open site with crops crops growing	Ranked No 4 by the villagers

-No obvious or	visible - The Site is big enough
contamination	to cope with proposed
-No restrictive covena	ants <mark>150 houses</mark>
-Not an SSSI site	- A bridleway crosses
-No known mineral de	eposits the NE corner of the
-No known archaeo	ological site
remains	- No direct access to
-Not prone to flooding	A1124
- No negative he	eritage - Poor access via a busy
issues apparent	housing estate
	- Entrance and exit
	route via the very busy
	Spring Lane which
	already has long
	queues at peak times